

CONTROLLED EVIDENCE TEMPLATE

HUMAN TIER 0 EVIDENCE REPORT

Template v1.0 for one compensated, accessible, human tabletop

EVIDENCE	DISSENT	DISPOSITION	PROTOCOL
Observed and bounded	Preserved	Non-authorizing	v1.1 closed

FIXED STATUS STATEMENT

This report documents one compensated, accessible, human Tier 0 tabletop with actual affected participants and prospective duty-bearers. It provides context-bound evidence about comprehension, authority, burden, safeguards and operational feasibility. It does not validate Transformational Laboratories Protocol v1.0, establish safety or effectiveness, authorize any material intervention, constitute ethics or regulatory clearance, or open Protocol v1.1. No live patient data, changed care pathway or consequential AI was used.

Candidate undertaking: [Enter working name]

Host: [Enter host]

Tabletop date: [Enter date]

Report version / evidence cutoff: [Enter]

Governing record: [doi:10.5281/zenodo.22230642](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22230642)

Template v1.0 | 3 September 2026 | CC BY 4.0

Contents and reading pathways

Use the document navigation pane or the links below. The layers are designed for progressive disclosure; read only as deeply as your decision and role require.

[Completion rules](#)

[1. Document control](#)

[2. Claims boundary](#)

[3. Executive evidentiary summary](#)

[4. Purpose, candidate and method](#)

[5. Governance, participation and standing](#)

[6. Compensation and accessibility](#)

[7. Evidence register](#)

[8. Operational metabolism](#)

[9. Safeguards, rupture and disagreement](#)

[10. Interpretation and judgment](#)

[11. Recommendations and Companion refinement](#)

[12. Disposition and Protocol v1.1 hold](#)

[13. Participant review and record control](#)

[14. Canonical record and disclosure](#)

[Annex checklist](#)

Completion rules

FOUR-LAYER RULE

Keep evidence, interpretation, judgment and decision visibly separate. An observation is not an inference; a participant report is not collective authorization; an interpretation is not a gate decision; and a tabletop disposition is not implementation authority.

Layer	Required content	Prohibited substitution
Evidence	What was observed, reported, documented or calculated, with source, standing and limit.	Do not fill absence with AI, facilitator inference or institutional proxy.
Interpretation	What evidence may mean, including rivals, uncertainty and affected interpretations.	Do not state causation or generalize beyond the bounded setting without evidence.
Judgment	How a named authority assessed a defined question and evidence.	Do not let facilitator, author, sponsor or AI silently acquire authority.
Decision	The bounded, non-authorizing tabletop disposition and its conditions.	Do not call the result passed, validated, safe, effective, approved or ready.

- Use one canonical status tag per result: Directly observed, Scenario-estimated, Proxy-derived or Not measured.
- Record source type separately: participant report, facilitator observation, documentary record, institutional assertion, calculation, independent judgment, interpretation or recommendation.
- Report definitions, denominators, planned versus actual values, missing data and deviations.
- Disaggregate by affected standing and role where confidentiality permits; use ranges and protected qualitative findings for small groups.
- No composite success or efficiency score; do not average away critical misunderstanding, burden, dissent or distributional harm.
- Factual review is not endorsement. Silence, attendance, completion or failure to review is not consent.

1. Document control

Field	Controlled entry
Report title	Human Tier 0 Evidence Report: [Candidate undertaking]
Rehearsal identifier	[Controlled ID]
Host / accountable officer	[Name, role, institution and authority]
Date / time / format	[Enter]
Report version	[Restricted draft / participant factual review / final evidentiary record / corrected version]
Evidence cutoff	[Date and time]

Field	Controlled entry
Report lead	[Name, role and conflict statement]
Independent safeguards observer	[Name, independence and contact]
Affected-participant reviewers	[Protected role/ID references, not unnecessary identifiers]
Prospective duty-bearer reviewers	[Names/roles and limits of authority]
Data custodian	[Name, access, retention and deletion duties]
Confidentiality / redaction	[Public and restricted record boundary]
Candidate Note / plan	[Identifiers and versions]
Field Companion used	[Version and controlled location]
Protocol v1.0	Sahely (2026); DOI 10.5281/zenodo.22230642
Protocol v1.1 status	CLOSED

A signature or review acknowledgment means the person has seen the record. It must not be represented as agreement, endorsement, collective authorization or waiver.

2. Claims boundary

Check	Evidence / entry
☐ No live patient or service-user data entered the rehearsal	[Evidence ID / exception]
☐ No actual care pathway, entitlement, service or institutional process changed	[Evidence ID / exception]
☐ No material intervention or deployment decision occurred	[Evidence ID / exception]
☐ No consequential AI was used	[Evidence ID / exception]
☐ No AI evaluated participants, assigned standing, scored testimony or determined disposition	[Evidence ID / exception]
☐ Participation was compensated, including agreed preparation and review	[Evidence ID / exception]
☐ Accessibility and care/access replacement were assessed and funded	[Evidence ID / exception]
☐ Pause, withdrawal, correction and dissent rights were explained	[Evidence ID / exception]
☐ An independent rupture and safeguards channel was available	[Evidence ID / exception]
☐ No clearance is claimed beyond the determinations documented	[Evidence ID / exception]

EXCEPTION RULE

Any breach or exception enters the deviation register immediately and triggers independent review of whether the report is non-decisional. A live-data, material-change or consequential-AI breach is a mandatory hold.

Deviation ID	Boundary / plan	What occurred	Immediate protection	Effect on evidence / disposition
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

3. Executive evidentiary summary

Layer	Bounded summary	Evidence / authority reference
Evidence	[What was directly observed, reported, documented or calculated?]	[IDs]
Interpretation	[What may it mean; what alternatives and uncertainties remain?]	[IDs]
Judgment	[How did named authorities evaluate defined questions?]	[Judgment IDs]
Decision	[Bounded tabletop disposition and explicit non-authorization]	[Decision authority]

Operational-metabolism dashboard

Measure	Planned definition	Observed result	Completeness / limitation	Evidence IDs
Time	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Cost	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Comprehension	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Paperwork ownership	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Participant burden	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Reviewer workload	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Tabletop disposition latency	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Actual G0 gate latency	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Safeguard contribution and value	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Unresolved disagreement	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Harm caused by delay	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Harm / rupture from rehearsal	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
AI-oversight burden	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Measurement burden	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Actual G0 gate latency is normally 'Not measured' because this tabletop does not assume a legitimately constituted G0 review. Report the rehearsal disposition timeline separately.

Headline limitations

- [Missing affected voices, mandate limits and selection effects]
- [Incomplete measurements, unavailable records or denominator limits]
- [Conflicts, facilitation effects, privacy limits or small-group re-identification risk]
- [What the report cannot support: safety, effectiveness, community-wide authority, GO qualification or implementation readiness]

4. Purpose, candidate and method

Field	Controlled entry
Bounded question	[Question rehearsed]
Why selected	[Reason and initiator]
Intended tests	[Comprehension, standing, duties, safeguards, burden, urgency, latency and operational feasibility]
Outside scope	[All explicit exclusions]
Protocol / Companion provisions	[Exact provisions exercised]
Evidence against proceeding	[Prespecified disconfirming findings or mandatory holds]
Expected unavailable evidence	[What cannot be generated by Tier 0]
Prespecified criteria	[Measurement and decision criteria fixed before results]

Candidate boundary record

Field	Controlled entry
Candidate description	[Plain-language, solution-neutral]
Scenario source	[Synthetic / composite / generalized / public historical]
Possible future tier	[If known; not a decision]
Material change excluded	[Enter]
Data excluded	[Enter]
AI excluded	[Enter]
Rehearsal protection	[Access, confidentiality, pause, support and remedy provisions]
Start / stop boundary	[Enter]
Immediate-pause conditions	[Enter]

Method and conduct

Field	Controlled entry
Agenda / actual timing	[Version and deviations]
Facilitation method	[Enter]
Role cards / injects	[Versions used]
Explain-back	[Method, language/format and record location]
Burden instruments	[Methods and optionality]
Metabolism Ledger	[Opened when; owner; definitions]
Independent observation	[Method and limits]
Rupture channels	[How made accessible and independent]
Participant factual review	[Process, payment and timetable]
Missing data	[Enter]
Post hoc criteria	[None, or clearly label criteria added after results]

5. Governance, participation and standing

Field	Controlled entry
Activity determination	[Classification, determining authority, date and reference]
Consent / agreement	[Process and separate choices]
Privacy assessment	[Reference and material conditions]
Data minimization	[What was and was not retained]
Recording	[None by default; if any, separate authority and purpose]
Retention / deletion	[Dates, exceptions and owner]
Complaint / aftercare	[Routes, owner and response standard]
Conflicts	[Host, sponsor, facilitator, reviewer, duty-bearer and vendor conflicts]
AI-use declaration	[No participant processing, or authorized accommodation record]

Participation profile

Role / constituency	Invited / attended	Standing basis / mandate	Recruitment / support	Authority / conflict / limit
[Protected descriptor]	[Counts]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Protected descriptor]	[Counts]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Protected descriptor]	[Counts]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Distinguish actual affected participants from proxies, advocates and professionals. Do not call participants representatives unless a documented mandate supports that term.

Missing-standing register

Absent constituency / authority	Why it matters	Recruitment effort	Consequence / interpretability
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Authority map

Question / decision	Affected authority	Duty-bearer authority	Independent role	Disputed / absent authority
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Prospective duty record

Duty	Prospective owner	Accepted / refused / unresolved	Resource / deadline	Evidence ID
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

6. Compensation and accessibility

Report planned, committed, delivered and outstanding support separately. Payment latency runs from the promised or earned date to receipt, not merely to administrative processing.

Support category	Planned / delivered	Recipient group	Actual / committed cost	Payment date / latency	Unmet need / effect
Participation compensation	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Preparation / review compensation	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Travel / connectivity	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Child / dependent / replacement care	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Interpretation / translation	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Disability / communication access	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Support category	Planned / delivered	Recipient group	Actual / committed cost	Payment date / latency	Unmet need / effect
Independent advice / aftercare	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Accessibility adequacy

Provision	Requested / planned	Delivered	Participant assessment	Correction / evidence ID
Format and plain language	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Language / interpretation	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Mobility / sensory / cognitive	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Remote / non-digital route	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Breaks / support person / quiet space	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Post-session support	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

7. Evidence register

Evidence code	Use
OBS	Direct facilitator or observer observation.
PR	Participant-reported experience or judgment.
DOC	Controlled documentary record.
CALC	Calculation from identified records and a stated method.
EXT	Relevant pre-existing external evidence.
INT	Interpretation - not evidence.
REC	Recommendation - not evidence.

Add rows as required. Keep restricted sources in a protected annex and use non-identifying references here.

Evidence ID / time	Question / source / standing	Method / status	Finding / denominator	Uncertainty / counterevidence / dissent	Location / limitation
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Code + canonical status]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Code + canonical status]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Code + canonical status]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

EVIDENCE INTEGRITY

Do not classify inference as observation. Missing evidence is not zero evidence. If criteria were selected after seeing results, label them post hoc; do not present them as prior thresholds.

8. Operational metabolism

Complete each measure below with actual human evidence where available. Keep actual, committed-unpaid, estimated and not-measured values separate. Disaggregate by role and affected standing within privacy limits.

8.1 Time

Role / group	Preparation	Session	Waiting	Follow-up / review	Support / administration	Paid / unpaid
[Enter]	[Hours]	[Hours]	[Hours]	[Hours]	[Hours]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Hours]	[Hours]	[Hours]	[Hours]	[Hours]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Hours]	[Hours]	[Hours]	[Hours]	[Hours]	[Enter]

Total person-hours = sum of preparation + participation + waiting + follow-up + support + administration + review.

8.2 Cost

Cost category	Paid	Committed / unpaid	Estimated only	Shifted cost / recipient	Source / limit
Compensation	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Accessibility / language	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Care replacement	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Travel / venue / technology	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Facilitation / administration	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Independent review / advice	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Aftercare / repair	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Opportunity / delay cost	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Do not combine actual and estimated costs in one total. Donated or unpaid labour is never priced at zero.

8.3 Comprehension

Use explain-back in the participant's preferred language and format. Status: clear; partial; unclear; prefers not to answer. Do not score or rank people.

Proposition	Initial result by role / group	Help / clarification time	Retest	Redesign / evidence ID
Tabletop only; no material change	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Prohibited data and AI	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Pause / leave / correction / dissent rights	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Authority held and not held	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Contribution use / storage	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Two rupture routes	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Participation is not later authorization	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Paperwork and payment ownership	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Report the least-understood proposition and any group difference. A critical unresolved misunderstanding requires repair and retesting or a hold; an average cannot override it.

8.4 Paperwork ownership

Artifact	Drafter / input	Verifier / approver / custodian	Hours / paid status	Duplication / transfer / dispute
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Calculate document-production hours by role. Identify whether affected participants became default authors, coordinators, boundary institutions or systems-integration labour.

8.5 Participant burden

Role / group	Logistics / access	Cognitive / emotional / privacy	Work / care / community burden	Maximum 0-4	Would participate again / why
[Protected descriptor]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Protected descriptor]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Scale: 0 none; 1 low; 2 manageable; 3 high; 4 unacceptable; NR not recorded. Report distribution and maximum, not only the mean.

8.6 Reviewer workload

Reviewer role	Pages / sources / artifacts	Prep / review / meeting / writing	Clarifications / rework	Queue / protected time / quality risk
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

8.7 Latency

Event	Timestamp / owner	Active / queue / repair	Reason and delay bearer	Protection / escalation / expiry
Packet declared ready	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Submission / acknowledgment	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Clarification / remediation	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Review resumed	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Tabletop disposition	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Actual G0 gate latency: [Not measured, unless a legitimately constituted review is separately documented].

8.8 Safeguard contribution and value

Safeguard	Understood / invoked	Issue / action	Observed process effect	Participant / independent view	Time / cost / evidence
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Classify as: untested; available not invoked; invoked without observable change; invoked and changed process; or invoked and mitigated a documented problem. Say 'observed contribution', not 'harm prevented', unless a defensible counterfactual exists.

8.9 Unresolved disagreement

Dissent ID / issue	Standing / approved wording	Evidence / response	Authority / disposition	Residual disagreement / effect
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Count material issues, not only dissenting people. Preserve standing, stakes, power and non-resolution.

8.10 Harm caused by delay

Delay ID / owner	Protection or decision delayed	Affected party / duration	Observed consequence	Anticipated risk	Response / evidence
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Clearly label]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Clearly label]	[Enter]

Because Tier 0 changes no care pathway, claims of patient harm caused or avoided by delaying an untested intervention will ordinarily be anticipated, not observed. Process or participation harm may be observed.

8.11 AI-oversight and measurement burden

Burden source	Who carried it	Time / cost	Value / necessity	Correction / evidence ID
Device and service declaration / disabling	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Accommodation AI review, if any	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Data / privacy verification	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
Metabolism measurement itself	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

9. Safeguards, rupture and disagreement

Independent observer summary

Field	Controlled entry
Independence / conflict	[Enter]
Coercion / domination	[Observed / not observed / not assessable; evidence]
Access / comprehension failure	[Enter]
Live-data / AI / recording boundary	[Enter]
Urgency and authority	[Enter]
Burden transfer	[Enter]
Retaliation cues	[Enter]
Unsupported legitimacy claims	[Enter]
Pauses / modifications	[Enter]
Residual concern	[Enter]

Rupture and repair register

Rupture ID / channel	Time / nature / affected party	Protection / response latency	Requested / offered repair	Participant assessment / residual effect / status
[Relational / independent / both]	[Restricted reference]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Relational / independent / both]	[Restricted reference]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Include exclusion, misrecognition, privacy failure, distress, accessibility failure, unpaid labour, domination, retaliation fear and loss of trust. 'No complaint received' is not evidence that no rupture occurred.

Participant-approved dissent register

Dissent ID	Approved wording / attribution	Evidence / response	Who decided treatment	Status / decision effect
[Enter]	[Enter or restricted annex]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter or restricted annex]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

10. Interpretation and judgment

Interpretation ledger

Interpretation ID / evidence	Bounded interpretation	Alternative / counterevidence	Affected interpretation / dissent	Confidence / scope / evidence needed
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

- Preserve disconfirming cases and serious rival explanations.
- Do not infer causation from sequence alone or generalize beyond the participating setting.
- Do not convert affected testimony into a decontextualized average or let professional majority erase it.
- State missingness, selection effects and the difference between factual uncertainty and normative disagreement.

Judgment register

Judgment ID / question	Named authority and basis	Evidence considered	Judgment / limits	Conflict / dissent / review route
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Judgment ID / question	Named authority and basis	Evidence considered	Judgment / limits	Conflict / dissent / review route
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

AUTHORITY CONTROL

The tabletop team may judge its own process within assigned roles; it may not self-authorize a later-tier activity. Name the authority for every judgment and preserve disputed or absent authority.

11. Recommendations and Companion refinement

Recommendation ID / category	Evidence IDs / bounded change	Owner / urgency	Burden / safeguard effect	Affected response / dissent / test
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Use one category per recommendation:

1. Local operational correction.
2. Field Companion wording, layout or facilitation aid.
3. Field Companion clarification consistent with Protocol v1.0.
4. Further human Tier 0 evidence required.
5. Possible Protocol-level issue - held, not implemented and no revision docket opened.

Field Companion change log

Change ID / evidence	Current provision / problem	Proposed operational refinement	Classification / approval	Burden trade-off / affected response / retest
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Permissible Companion refinements include form layout, facilitation sequence, accessibility aids, role prompts, documentation depth and burden-allocation tools consistent with Protocol v1.0. A proposed change to rights, authority, gates, tier definitions, safety thresholds, normative safeguards, the two grammars or the seven-work architecture is Protocol-level and remains unimplemented.

12. Disposition and Protocol v1.1 hold

Evidence-record classification

Check	Select one / reasons
☐ Decision-supporting with stated limits	[Reason and evidence IDs]
☐ Limited - repeat evidence required	[Reason and evidence IDs]
☐ Non-decisional because a critical boundary, standing or evidence condition failed	[Reason and evidence IDs]

Bounded tabletop disposition

Check	Select one / conditions
☐ Stop or archive the candidate	[Authority, reason and follow-up]
☐ Evidence insufficient - hold and repeat human Tier 0	[Missing conditions]
☐ Refine the Field Companion operationally and repeat human Tier 0	[Change IDs and retest]
☐ Refer the candidate for independent consideration at the next gate	[Conditions; explicitly non-authorizing]

Mandatory hold or stop review

Check	Evidence / entry
☐ Live patient data, altered care or consequential AI entered the rehearsal	[Finding / protection]
☐ Participation was coercive or compensation materially failed	[Finding / protection]
☐ Affected standing or authority was absent, displaced or overruled	[Finding / protection]
☐ A critical right or boundary remained misunderstood	[Finding / retest]
☐ A serious privacy, accessibility or safeguarding failure occurred	[Finding / remedy]
☐ A rupture or retaliation concern remains unprotected	[Finding / remedy]
☐ Duties, paperwork ownership or protective margins could not be assigned	[Finding / owner]
☐ A high-stakes disagreement was suppressed	[Finding / restored record]
☐ Delay produced unacceptable observed harm	[Finding / protection]
☐ Evidence required for the proposed disposition is missing	[Finding / next evidence]

PROTOCOL v1.1 REMAINS CLOSED

Real human Tier 0 evidence is necessary but not sufficient to open revision. This report cannot adopt or authorize a Protocol change. An apparent Protocol-level issue remains a held question unless it is material, narrowly stated, traceable to Protocol v1.0 rather than local failure, not adequately repairable through resourcing, training, site adaptation or Field Companion refinement, and reviewed with affected authority, independent scrutiny and preserved dissent.

Bounded v1.1 scoping test - no automatic opening

Check	Evidence / entry
[] Evidence comes from a real compensated human rehearsal	[Evidence IDs]
[] Issue materially affects safety, non-domination, authority, equity, feasibility, corrigibility or evidence integrity	[Evidence IDs]
[] Defect is narrowly stated by context, Protocol provision and documented effect	[Statement]
[] Issue is traceable to Protocol v1.0, not poor facilitation, underfunding, training or local implementation	[Analysis]
[] Issue cannot be repaired through the Field Companion or site adaptation	[Alternatives tested]
[] Pattern is repeated across human rehearsals/settings, or one independently reviewed high-severity failure exists	[Evidence]
[] Affected-community authority helped define the issue and disagreement remains visible	[Record]
[] Prospective duty-bearers and independent safeguards reviewers examined the evidence	[Record]
[] Possible review scope is bounded and includes burden, equity and non-domination effects	[Scope]
[] A public, versioned record can preserve evidence, rationale and dissent	[Plan]

Synthetic or AI findings, editorial preference, conceptual elegance, administrative convenience, desire for expansion, absence of complaints, or efficiency gained by shifting burden cannot open v1.1 by themselves. Opening a scoping review, if ever justified, remains distinct from drafting, adopting or depositing v1.1.

Final disposition record

Field	Controlled entry
Evidence classification	[Select one]
Candidate disposition	[Select one bounded disposition]
Conditions	[Enter]

Field	Controlled entry
Actions not authorized	No live intervention, changed care pathway, data use, AI deployment or G0-G4 decision unless separately authorized.
Companion refinements	[Permitted change IDs / none]
Further evidence	[Enter]
Protocol v1.1	CLOSED
Named decision authorities	[Names, roles and authority basis]
Preserved dissent	[IDs / restricted annex]
Review date	[Enter]
Public / restricted annexes	[Enter]

CLOSING STATEMENT

This Human Tier 0 Evidence Report records context-bound learning from a bounded rehearsal. Its legitimate consequence is limited to stopping the candidate, requesting more evidence, refining the Field Companion operationally, or referring the candidate for a separately authorized next-gate review. It does not validate the Protocol or authorize material action.

13. Participant review and record control

- Offer confidential, attributed and collective dissent routes, within each person's actual mandate.
- Preserve participant-approved wording and separate factual correction from agreement with interpretation.
- Compensate affected participants for reviewing the draft and provide requested accessible formats.
- Allow a reasonable correction period and append an unresolved minority statement when requested.
- Do not use attendance, silence, failure to review or payment acceptance as assent.
- Route alleged harm through the independent channel; keep compensation independent of agreement.
- Record who decided the treatment of each correction or dissent and why.

Reviewer role / protected ID	Material sent / format / date	Paid review time	Factual correction / quotation choice	Dissent / disposition / unresolved issue
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

Version and correction history

Version / date	Status	Change and evidence	Affected review / dissent	Custodian / publication
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]
[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]	[Enter]

14. Canonical record and disclosure

Sahely, B. (2026). *Transformational Laboratories Protocol v1.0: From Civilizational Healing Theory to Bounded, Life-Grounded Praxis—with Living Coordination as the Necessary Affective-Relational Substrate*. Zenodo.

[doi:10.5281/zenodo.22230642](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22230642)

The DOI identifies the deposited Protocol v1.0. This report is a subordinate, context-bound human evidence record. Cite the exact Field Companion and facilitation-pack versions used.

Licence. This blank template is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence (CC BY 4.0): <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. Appropriate attribution is required. Attribution does not imply endorsement of an adaptation or completed report.

AI DISCLOSURE

The blank facilitation and report templates were drafted and stress-tested with AI assistance under human direction. AI supported synthesis, adversarial review, structure and document production. It provided no affected testimony, consent, authorization, independent clearance or empirical validation. Complete this report from controlled human and documentary evidence. Do not submit substantive participant contributions to AI by default. Disclose any separately authorized accommodation or administrative use; AI holds no authority.

Annex checklist

Check	Evidence / entry
[] A. Prespecified measurement and analysis plan	[Public / restricted / location]
[] B. Restricted standing and attendance record	[Location]
[] C. Participant rights and authority cards	[Versions / formats]
[] D. Candidate Note, agenda, role cards and injects	[Versions]
[] E. Explain-back instrument and protected results	[Location]
[] F. Operational Metabolism Ledger	[Location]
[] G. Compensation and accessibility evidence	[Restricted location]
[] H. Evidence register and calculation notes	[Location]
[] I. Participant-approved dissent statements	[Public / restricted location]
[] J. Restricted rupture-and-repair record	[Location]

Check	Evidence / entry
☐ K. Field Companion change proposals	[Location]
☐ L. Ethics/privacy determination reference	[Location]
☐ M. Version and correction history	[Location]

Release control

Complete this page only after participant review, correction and dissent handling. Release authority applies only to this evidence record; it does not authorize a pilot, intervention, data use, changed care pathway, AI deployment or later-gate decision.

Check	Evidence / entry
☐ Restricted material is separated and access-controlled	[Verified by / date]
☐ Every material claim has an evidence ID and stated standing	[Verified by / date]
☐ Missingness, disagreement and dissent remain visible	[Verified by / date]
☐ Quotations and attribution follow participant choices	[Verified by / date]
☐ Compensation, reimbursement and unresolved payment latency are recorded	[Verified by / date]
☐ The disposition is bounded and explicitly non-authorizing	[Verified by / date]
☐ Protocol v1.1 is marked CLOSED	[Verified by / date]

Release record

Field	Controlled entry
Report version / evidence cutoff	[Enter]
Release status	[Draft / restricted / public]
Affected-participant review	[Completed / limits / evidence IDs]
Independent safeguards review	[Name or protected ID / basis / date]
Restricted-annex custodian	[Name / role / access basis]
Named release authority	[Name / role / authority basis / date]
Protocol v1.1	CLOSED

FINAL CONTROL

Before release, verify that restricted material is separated; every claim has evidence and standing; missingness and dissent remain visible; the disposition is non-authorizing; and Protocol v1.1 is marked CLOSED.